

**PROHIBITION OF SALE OF ALCOHOL AND INCLUSION OF VAT RECEIPTS
IN SHARIA COMPLIANT STATES IN NORTHERN NIGERIA – A CONTRAST
IN POLICY, VALUES AND LOGICAL DISSONANCE**

ARUOMAH OBINWANNE KENECHUKWU (PH.D)

Department of Accounting
Faculty of Economics and Management Sciences
Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria

ONWUKA ONWUKA OKWARA (PH.D)

Department of Accounting
Faculty of Economics and Management Sciences
Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria

EFFE-NNAMDI ANN CHINENYE.

Department of Banking and Finance
Faculty of Economics and Management Sciences
Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria

ONYEKACHI EKE (PH.D)

Department of Accounting
College of Management Science
MichealOkpara University of Agriculture
Umudike. Abia State

ROSELINE CHINASA AGBARAEVOH

Department of Accounting
Abia State University, Uturu

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63452/IJAFSSR.2026.4312>

ABSTRACT

This study examines the attitudes and perceptions of stakeholders towards Value-Added Tax (VAT) administration and the equity principle in the context of alcohol sales profit sharing in Nigeria, with a focus on Sharia-compliant states. The objectives of the study are to examine stakeholders' attitudes towards VAT administration, investigate the moral implications of sharing

profits from alcohol sales, and explore potential solutions to the moral dilemma. Six hypotheses were tested using a quantitative cross-sectional survey design, with a structured questionnaire administered to 34 stakeholders, including academics, international businessmen, and investors. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, regression analysis (OLS), and t-tests. The findings indicate that stakeholders have a negative perception of the current VAT administration system in Nigeria, with a mean score of 3.21 (SD = 1.02) on a 5-point Likert scale, indicating a moderate level of dissatisfaction (H1 accepted). A significant positive correlation ($r = 0.612$, $p < 0.01$) was found between stakeholders' attitudes towards VAT administration and their perception of the equity principle, suggesting that stakeholders who perceive VAT administration as unfair also tend to perceive the equity principle as unfair (H2 accepted). Stakeholders believe that sharing profits from alcohol sales among states that operate under Sharia law or Islamic law is morally wrong, with a mean score of 3.50 (SD = 1.20) on a 5-point Likert scale (H3 accepted). The study also finds a significant difference ($t = 2.512$, $p < 0.05$) in the moral implications of sharing profits from alcohol sales between stakeholders from Sharia states (mean = 3.50, SD = 1.20) and non-Sharia states (mean = 2.85, SD = 1.15), indicating that stakeholders from Sharia states are more likely to perceive sharing profits from alcohol sales as morally wrong (H4 accepted). Stakeholders support a derivation-based approach to VAT revenue distribution as a potential solution to the moral dilemma, with a mean score of 3.80 (SD = 1.10) on a 5-point Likert scale (H5 accepted). Finally, a significant positive correlation ($r = 0.351$, $p < 0.05$) was found between stakeholders' support for a constitutional amendment and their perception of the current VAT administration system, suggesting that stakeholders who support a constitutional amendment tend to perceive the current VAT administration system as unfair (H6 accepted). After pragmatic research, the study concludes that the current VAT administration system in Nigeria is inequitable and morally questionable, particularly in the context of Sharia-compliant states. The study recommends that the Nigerian government consider implementing a derivation-based approach to VAT revenue distribution and amending the constitution to provide clarity on VAT revenue distribution. Stakeholders should engage in dialogue to address the moral implications of sharing profits from alcohol sales.

KEYWORDS: - VAT administration, equity principle, Sharia law, moral implications, derivation-based approach, Nigeria.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The administration of Value Added Tax (VAT) in Nigeria and some developing countries has raised concerns about the equity principle, particularly with regards to the distribution of revenue generated from alcohol sales. According to Musgrave (1959), the equity principle in taxation is essential for ensuring fairness and justice in the tax system. However, the distribution of VAT revenue from alcohol sales among states in Nigeria, including those that operate under Sharia

law, raises questions about the hypocrisy and moral implications of such a system (Abiola, 2019). As noted by Adebayo (2020), the intersection of taxation and Sharia law in the context of alcohol sales creates a complex moral dilemma. This study aims to investigate the attitudes and perceptions of stakeholders towards VAT administration and the equity principle in the context of alcohol sales profit sharing. Value Added Tax (VAT) is a compulsory tax targeted at different stages of consumption the consequences of which is borne by the end-user of the product or service. For every business involved at each stage of the business life cycle of the product or service, there is a statutory requirement to charge and collect VAT on all invoiced amounts for goods and services not exempted from VAT on the tax regulations. The Value Added Tax Act of 1993 introduced this very convenient method of tax in Nigeria. As a vital means of revenue generation, VAT ensures added capacity at delivering basic necessities and services by government which makes economic growth possible. It is also a tool for actualizing equitable income, wealth distribution and equilibrium maintenance in the economy. Taxation in addition to being the most traditional means of generating government revenue, is also the most reliable and predictable. This facilitates a more effective planning and budgeting of economic programmes. Furthermore, the cost in administration of VAT is mostly borne by the businesses hence saving costs to the government.

The importance of VAT cannot be overemphasized given Nigeria's enormous population and market potentials. A lot of the citizenry (despite the cost of living) spend much money on consumables many of whom are not VAT exempt. Since tax avoidance is on the high side and the cost of ensuring compliance is enormous VAT provides a convenient alternative to revenue generation hence the reason this study focuses on it. VAT is especially useful as a means of income in periods of high economic activity but in periods of low economic activities such as in the recession of 2016 VAT proceeds understandably dropped. This is because invoices are reduced as a result of drop in sales by businesses.

On the aggregate, Value Added Tax (VAT) for Q3 2024 was reported at N1.78 trillion, showing a growth rate of 14.16% on a quarter-on-quarter basis from N1.56 trillion in Q2 2024.

While the performance of VAT as a source of revenue in Nigeria is encouraging, it remains difficult to find attempts to thoroughly assess the impact of VAT on the economic growth. Various studies on the impact of government revenue on economic growth hardly consider VAT as a separate variable.

Nigeria is currently undergoing significant tax reforms aimed at improving the country's tax system and economic prosperity. The Presidential Committee on Fiscal Policy and Tax Reforms has proposed several key reforms, including:

- Reduction of Company Income Tax (CIT) Rate: The proposed Nigeria Tax Bill (NTB) aims to reduce the CIT rate from 30% to 25% over two years, with small companies earning below N50 million exempted from CIT.
- VAT Reforms: The NTB proposes a phased increment of Nigeria's VAT rate to 12.5% by 2026 and 15% by 2030, aligning with ECOWAS standards. It also introduces a streamlined VAT refund process and VAT reliefs for small businesses.
- Personal Income Tax (PIT) Reforms: The NTB seeks to reform Nigeria's PIT regime by redesigning PIT brackets and rates based on income levels. It also aims to encourage remote work by taxing only income earned by non-resident persons from employment in Nigeria.
- Introduction of Tax Inspectors without Borders: Nigeria is set to launch its first Tax Inspectors Without Borders program to combat tax evasion and promote tax transparency.²
- New Withholding Tax Regulations: The Federal Government has released new Deduction of Tax at Source (Withholding) Regulations 2024, which widens the tax net and introduces new categories of transactions subject to withholding tax.
- Stamp Duties: The Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) has directed banks to apply, deduct, and remit stamp duties at the rate of 0.375% on all facilities backed by legal mortgages, shares, debentures, or bonds.

In Nigeria, VAT proceeds are typically appropriated to the Federation Account.

Here's a breakdown of how VAT revenue is managed:

1. Collection: The Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) collects VAT revenue from businesses.
2. Remittance: The FIRS remits the collected VAT revenue to the Federation Account.
3. Allocation: The Federation Account Allocation Committee (FAAC) allocates the VAT revenue to the federal, state, and local governments based on the revenue sharing formula.

The Consolidated Revenue Fund (CRF) is a separate account that holds revenue generated by the federal government, including taxes, fees, and other receipts. While VAT revenue is initially remitted to the Federation Account, a portion of it may eventually be transferred to the CRF for federal government use.

The statutory provision that supports the appropriation of VAT proceeds is Section 40 of the Value Added Tax Act, which creates a special account for VAT proceeds. However, there's an ongoing debate about amending or expunging this section to align with the Consolidated Revenue Fund Account requirements.

It's worth noting that the Allocation of Revenue (Federation Account, etc.) Act also plays a role in governing the distribution of revenue, including VAT proceeds, among the federal, state, and local governments.

The beneficiaries of the Federation Account in Nigeria are:

1. Federal Government: Receives 52.68% of the total revenue
2. State Governments: Receive 26.72% of the total revenue
3. Local Governments: Receive 20.60% of the total revenue

These beneficiaries receive their respective shares based on the revenue allocation formula, which is determined by the Revenue Mobilization, Allocation and Fiscal Commission (RMAFC).

1.2 Problem of study

The distribution of VAT revenue from alcohol sales among states in Nigeria, including those that operate under Sharia law, raises concerns about the equity principle and moral implications. According to Fadahunsi (2018), the current VAT administration system in Nigeria has been criticized for its lack of transparency and fairness. This study aims to investigate the research problem: "What are the attitudes and perceptions of stakeholders towards VAT administration and the equity principle in the context of alcohol sales profit sharing? This study investigates the dilemma of Value-Added Tax (VAT) revenue allocation in Nigeria's alcohol-prohibiting states, with a focus on equity and efficiency in taxation. Nigeria's federal system of government allows states to prohibit the production, distribution, sale, consumption and partaking in the profits derived from alcohol, yet these states still receive VAT revenue from alcohol sales. This creates a dilemma in equity as well as in ethics, as the revenue is not generated within the alcohol prohibiting states, but is still allocated to them.

The dilemma lies in the inconsistency between the moral and ethical stance of states that outlaw investments in alcohol, citing religious or moral reasons, and their willingness to benefit financially from the proceeds of others who promote it.

This creates a paradox:

1. Moral objection: States outlawing alcohol investments do so based on moral or religious grounds, implying that the activity is wrong or harmful.
2. Financial benefit: Despite this moral objection, these states still accept and benefit from the VAT proceeds generated by other states that allow alcohol sales.

This dilemma raises ethical concerns:

1. Hypocrisy: States may be seen as hypocritical, condemning the activity but willing to profit from it.
2. Inequity: The arrangement may be perceived as unfair, as states that prohibit alcohol sales still benefit from the financial gains of others.
3. Lack of moral consistency: The inconsistency between moral stance and financial actions may undermine the moral authority of these states.

Ultimately, this dilemma highlights the complexity of balancing moral and ethical principles with the practical realities of governance and finance.

1.3 Study of Objective

1. To examine the attitudes and perceptions of stakeholders towards VAT administration and the equity principle in the context of alcohol sales profit sharing.
2. To investigate the moral implications of sharing profits from alcohol sales among states that operates under Sharia law.
3. To explore potential solutions to the moral dilemma, including accounting and constitutional solutions.

1.4 Research Hypotheses

Objective 1: Examine the attitudes and perceptions of stakeholders towards VAT administration and the equity principle in the context of alcohol sales profit sharing.

H1: Stakeholders have a negative perception of the current VAT administration system in Nigeria.

H2: There is a significant relationship between stakeholders' attitudes towards VAT administration and their perception of the equity principle.

Objective 2: Investigate the moral implications of sharing profits from alcohol sales among states that operate under Sharia law.

H3: Stakeholders believe that sharing profits from alcohol sales among states that operate under Sharia law is morally wrong.

H4: There is a significant difference in the moral implications of sharing profits from alcohol sales between stakeholders from Sharia states and non-Sharia states.

Objective 3: Explore potential solutions to the moral dilemma, including accounting and constitutional solutions.

H5: Stakeholders support a derivation-based approach to VAT revenue distribution as a potential solution to the moral dilemma.

H6: There is a significant relationship between stakeholders' support for a constitutional amendment and their perception of the current VAT administration system.

These hypotheses can be tested using statistical analysis of the survey data, and the results can provide insights into the attitudes and perceptions of stakeholders towards VAT administration and the equity principle in the context of alcohol sales profit sharing.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

VAT Administration

Value Added Tax (VAT) is a consumption-based tax levied on the value added to goods and services at each stage of production and distribution. According to Musgrave (1959), VAT is designed to be efficient and self-enforcing, but its complexity can lead to administrative challenges, particularly in lower-income countries.

In Nigeria, the proceeds from VAT go to the Federal Government, which shares the revenue with the 36 States and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) based on a predetermined formula. The breakdown of how VAT proceeds are shared:

1. Federal Government: 15%
2. States 50%
3. Local Governments: 35%

The sharing formula is stipulated in the Value Added Tax (VATA) 1993, as amended. The revenue is typically distributed by the Federation Accounts Allocation Committee (FAAC). It is worth noting that the VAT revenue is used to fund various government projects, programs, and services at the federal, state, and local government levels.

Equity Principle

The equity principle in taxation emphasizes fairness and justice in the distribution of tax burdens. As noted by Abiola (2019), equity considerations in VAT involve ensuring that the tax system doesn't disproportionately affect certain groups, such as the poor or vulnerable populations [2].

Value Added Tax Act (VADA) 1993

This sharing formula is stipulated in the Value Added Tax Act (VATA) 1993, as amended. The revenue is typically distributed monthly by the Federation Accounts Allocation Committee (FAAC).

It's worth noting that the VAT revenue is used to fund various government projects, programs, and services at the federal, state, and local levels.

Value Added Tax (VAT) is often preferred to other types of sales tax for several reasons:

Economic Efficiency

1. Reduced Cascading Taxation: VAT eliminates the cascading effect of taxes, where taxes are levied on taxes already paid. This reduces the overall tax burden and makes the tax system more efficient.

2. Encourages Economic Growth: By taxing value added at each stage of production, VAT promotes economic growth by encouraging businesses to invest in production and create jobs.

Administrative Advantages

1. Simplified Tax Compliance: VAT is typically easier to administer and comply with, as businesses only need to report the difference between their output VAT (tax on sales) and input VAT (tax on purchases).

2. Improved Tax Revenue Collection: VAT is more effective at collecting tax revenue, as it's levied at multiple stages of production and distribution, reducing the likelihood of tax evasion.

Neutrality and Fairness

1. Neutral Taxation: VAT is a neutral tax, meaning it doesn't favor or discriminate against specific industries or businesses.

2. Fair Distribution of Tax Burden: VAT distributes the tax burden fairly among businesses and consumers, as each stage of production and distribution contributes to the tax revenue.

International Trade Considerations

1. Compliance with International Trade Agreements: VAT is consistent with international trade agreements, such as the World Trade Organization (WTO) rules.
2. Competitiveness in Global Markets: VAT helps businesses remain competitive in global markets, as it's a consumption-based tax that's typically rebated on exports.

Overall, VAT is preferred due to its efficiency, simplicity, neutrality, and fairness, making it an attractive option for governments seeking to implement a robust and effective tax system.

Value Added Tax (VAT) is a type of tax that's added to the price of goods and services at each stage of production and distribution. Here's a simple example:

Simplified Tax Compliance

1. **Single Tax Rate:** VAT typically has a single tax rate, making it easier for businesses to understand and comply with.
2. **Streamlined Reporting:** Businesses only need to report the difference between their output VAT (tax on sales) and input VAT (tax on purchases).

Increased Competitiveness

1. **Level Playing Field:** VAT applies to all businesses, ensuring a level playing field and preventing tax evasion.
2. **Competitiveness in Export Markets:** Businesses can claim back VAT on exports, making them more competitive in international markets.

Improved Cash Flow

1. **VAT Refunds:** Businesses can claim refunds for excess VAT paid, improving their cash flow.
2. **Reduced Tax Payments:** By claiming input tax credits, businesses can reduce their tax payments.

Encourages Business Growth

1. **Investment Incentives:** VAT can encourage businesses to invest in production and create jobs.
2. **Economic Growth:** By promoting business growth, VAT can contribute to overall economic growth and development.

Overall, VAT provides businesses with a more efficient and streamlined tax system, reducing their tax burden and improving their competitiveness.

Value Added Tax (VAT) can affect business pricing in several ways:

Passing on VAT to Customers

1. **Increased Prices:** Businesses can pass on the VAT to customers by increasing their prices. This is a common practice, as businesses seek to maintain their profit margins.
2. **VAT-Inclusive Pricing:** Businesses may choose to include VAT in their prices, making it easier for customers to understand the total cost.

Absorbing VAT Costs

1. **Reduced Profit Margins:** Businesses may decide to absorb the VAT costs themselves, reducing their profit margins to maintain competitive pricing.

2. **Cost Savings:** Businesses can try to reduce their costs elsewhere to offset the VAT expenses.

Pricing Strategies

1. **Penetration Pricing:** Businesses may use penetration pricing strategies, where they set low initial prices to attract customers and then increase prices later.
2. **Premium Pricing:** Businesses can use premium pricing strategies, focusing on high-quality products or services to justify higher prices.

VAT Rate Changes

1. **Price Adjustments:** When VAT rates change, businesses may need to adjust their prices accordingly to maintain profit margins or remain competitive.

Industry-Specific Considerations

1. **Competitive Pricing:** Businesses in competitive industries may need to maintain prices to stay competitive, absorbing VAT costs or finding other cost savings.
2. **Price Elasticity:** Businesses in industries with low price elasticity (e.g., essential goods) may be able to pass on VAT costs to customers without significantly impacting demand.

Ultimately, businesses must carefully consider their pricing strategies to balance the impact of VAT on their profit margins and customer demand.

Here are the benefits of VAT for businesses:

Reduced Tax Burden

1. **Input Tax Credits:** Claim back VAT paid on business inputs, reducing overall tax liability.
2. **Reduced Cascading Taxation:** VAT eliminates the cascading effect of taxes, where taxes are levied on taxes already paid.

Simplified Tax Compliance

1. **Single Tax Rate:** Typically, VAT has a single tax rate, making it easier to understand and comply with.
2. **Streamlined Reporting:** Businesses only need to report the difference between output VAT (tax on sales) and input VAT (tax on purchases).

Increased Competitiveness

1. **Level Playing Field:** VAT applies to all businesses, ensuring a level playing field and preventing tax evasion.
2. **Competitiveness in Export Markets:** Businesses can claim back VAT on exports, making them more competitive in international markets.

Improved Cash Flow

1. VAT Refunds: Claim refunds for excess VAT paid, improving cash flow.
2. Reduced Tax Payments: Claiming input tax credits reduces tax payments.

Encourages Business Growth

1. Investment Incentives: VAT can encourage businesses to invest in production and create jobs.
2. Economic Growth: Promoting business growth contributes to overall economic growth and development.

By providing these benefits, VAT can help businesses streamline their tax compliance, reduce their tax burden, and increase their competitiveness in the market.

Moral Dilemmas

Moral dilemmas arise when individuals or organizations face conflicting moral or ethical choices. According to Helpful Professor (2025), moral dilemmas can be particularly challenging in situations where there are conflicting values or principles at stake [3].

Theories of Taxation

Theories of taxation, such as the benefit theory and the ability-to-pay theory, provide frameworks for understanding the principles of taxation. According to Musgrave (1959), the benefit theory suggests that taxes should be levied based on the benefits received from public goods and services, while the ability-to-pay theory advocates for taxes to be levied based on an individual's ability to pay.

Theories of Moral Dilemmas

Theories of moral dilemmas, such as the ontological and epistemic moral dilemmas, provide insights into the nature of moral conflicts. According to McConnell (2022), ontological moral dilemmas involve conflicts between two equally valid moral principles, while epistemic moral dilemmas involve uncertainty about the morally correct course of action

Islamic Scholarly Positions Taken on Production, Distribution, Sale, Consumption and Profits on Alcohol

Sharia law was first introduced in Zamfara State in 1999, and later adopted by the other eleven states from the predominantly Muslim north. The law applies only to Muslims, but non-Muslims can choose to be tried under Sharia courts if they wish.

The 12 northern states in Nigeria that practice Sharia law have individual legislative Acts that establish Sharia courts and govern their jurisdiction. Here are some of the legislative Acts for each state:

- Bauchi State: Bauchi State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2000)
- Borno State: Borno State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2000)
- Gombe State: Gombe State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2001)
- Jigawa State: Jigawa State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2000)
- Kaduna State: Kaduna State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2000)
- Kano State: Kano State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2000)
- Katsina State: Katsina State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2000)
- Kebbi State: Kebbi State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2000)
- Niger State: Niger State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2001)
- Sokoto State: Sokoto State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2000)
- Yobe State: Yobe State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (2001)
- Zamfara State: Zamfara State Sharia Court of Appeal Law (1999)

These laws establish the Sharia Court of Appeal in each state and define their jurisdiction over Islamic personal law and other matters.

The Sharia laws in the 12 northern states of Nigeria prohibit the sale and consumption of alcohol, and by extension, revenue derived from its sale or tax. In fact, the Sharia law considers alcohol to be haram (forbidden), and its sale, distribution, and consumption are punishable offenses.¹

In these states, the Sharia law enforcement groups, known as the hisbah, are responsible for enforcing the ban on alcohol and other prohibited substances. The hisbah have the authority to confiscate and destroy alcohol, and to arrest and prosecute individuals found guilty of selling, distributing, or consuming alcohol.

It's worth noting that while the Sharia law prohibits the sale and consumption of alcohol, some states may still collect taxes on alcohol sales from neighboring states or from federal allocations. However, this revenue is not derived directly from the sale of alcohol within the state.

From a Sharia perspective, the issue of revenue derived indirectly from haram sources, such as alcohol sales, is a complex one.

Some Islamic scholars argue that even if the revenue is not directly derived from haram sources, but rather indirectly through taxes or other means, it is still considered haram. This is because the revenue is ultimately linked to an activity that is prohibited in Islam.

Other scholars, however, take a more nuanced view, arguing that if the revenue is derived through a legitimate and lawful means, such as taxation, and is not directly linked to the haram activity, then it may be considered halal (permissible).

Ultimately, the question of whether revenue derived indirectly from haram sources is permissible or not depends on the specific interpretation of Islamic law and the circumstances involved.

In the context of the 12 northern states in Nigeria, the Sharia law enforcement agencies and scholars may have different opinions on this matter. Some may consider the revenue to be *haram*, while others may consider it *halal*.

In Islam, "haram" and "halal" are two important concepts that distinguish between what is permissible and what is prohibited.

Haram refers to something that is forbidden or prohibited in Islam. It is considered sinful or unlawful to engage in or consume something that is haram. Examples of haram things include:

- Consuming pork or alcohol
- Engaging in gambling or usury
- Committing adultery or fornication
- Lying or bearing false witness

Halal refers to something that is permissible or lawful in Islam. It is considered acceptable and allowed to engage in or consume something that is halal. Examples of *halal* things include:

- Consuming meat from animals that have been slaughtered according to Islamic guidelines
- Engaging in honest and fair business practices
- Practicing charity and giving to those in need
- Engaging in prayer and worship

In essence, haram refers to things that are prohibited and considered sinful, while halal refers to things that are permissible and considered acceptable. Muslims strive to avoid haram things and to engage in halal activities in order to live a righteous and virtuous life.

Studies reveal that VAT revenue has a significant impact on economic development in Nigeria's alcohol-prohibiting states. However, the current VAT revenue allocation formula is inequitable and inefficient, as it does not account for the source of the revenue. There are few voices that have been raised against this lop-sided contradiction in equitable and ethical terms. But of recent a former Governor of the Central Bank (CBN) EmirSanusiLamidoSanusi has indeed spoken about the inconsistency of states benefiting from VAT proceeds derived from investments in

alcohol while prohibiting its sale within their borders. As a prominent Islamic leader and expert in Risk Finance and Islamic Banking, Sanusi has been vocal about issues related to morality, governance, and economic development.¹

Sanusi's views on this matter align with his broader advocacy for moral and economic reform in Northern Nigeria. He has consistently emphasized the need for leaders to uphold moral principles and promote economic development that benefits the broader population.

It's worth noting that Sanusi's stance on this issue reflects his commitment to promoting Islamic values and principles in governance and economic development. His perspectives on this matter are likely to continue influencing public discourse and policy debates in Nigeria. A former Health Minister, Prof Isaac Adewole, has advocated fiscal federalism where states get funds commensurate to what they bring into the federation account.

A lecturer of Islamic Studies at the Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin, Kwara State, SanusiLafiagi in an interview, said "No, it is not right for them to share from the proceeds of haram things. That would amount to double standards. Islam forbids the sale of beer and partaking in the proceeds from such haram product is haram".

VAT Administration in Developing Countries

Studies have shown that VAT administration in developing countries can be complex and challenging. According to Fadahunsi (2018), research on VAT administration in Nigeria highlights the need for effective administration and enforcement mechanisms to ensure the tax system's efficiency and equity.

How VAT is calculated

Example

Imagine a farmer grows wheat and sells it to a baker for ₦100. The baker adds value to the wheat by making bread and sells it to a retailer for ₦150. The retailer then sells the bread to a consumer for ₦200.

VAT Calculation

Let's say the VAT rate is 10%. Here's how the VAT would be calculated:

1. Farmer to Baker: ₦100 (no VAT)
2. Baker to Retailer: ₦150 + 10% VAT = ₦165
3. Retailer to Consumer: ₦200 + 10% VAT = ₦220

VAT Paid

In this example:

- The baker pays ₦15 VAT (₦150 x 10%) to the government.
- The retailer pays ₦20 VAT (₦200 x 10%) to the government, but claims back the ₦15 VAT already paid by the baker.

The VAT paid to the government is ₦20 (retailer) - ₦15 (baker) = ₦5.

This is a simplified example, but it illustrates how VAT works:

- VAT is added to the price of goods and services at each stage.
- Businesses pay VAT to the government, but can claim back VAT already paid on inputs.
- The final consumer pays the VAT included in the price.

Value Added Tax (VAT) offers several benefits to businesses:

Reduced Tax Burden

1. Input Tax Credits: Businesses can claim back VAT paid on inputs (goods and services purchased for business use), reducing their overall tax liability.

2. Reduced Cascading Taxation: VAT eliminates the cascading effect of taxes, where taxes are levied on taxes already paid.

Equity and Efficiency of VAT

Empirical studies have examined the equity and efficiency implications of VAT systems. According to the IMF (n.d.), VAT exemptions and reduced rates can be blunt instruments for achieving equity goals, as they often benefit better-off households [6].

Moral Dilemmas in Business and Taxation

Empirical studies have also explored moral dilemmas in business and taxation. According to Shaw and Barry (2015), moral dilemmas can arise when individuals or organizations face conflicting moral or ethical choices.

Moral and Philosophical Dilemma

It is a classic problem of fiscal federalism, where states with different policies and values share a common revenue pool. But the thought provoking issue is the moral and philosophical perspective where some states prohibit alcohol sales due to religious or moral reasons. This raises ethical concerns as it could be argued that the said states shouldn't benefit from the VAT proceeds generated by other states that allow alcohol sales. This is particularly relevant if the prohibition is based on the idea of not partaking in the profits of alcohol sales according to the religious basis which forms the basis on which the state legislatures passed the Sharia prohibitions into law.

Ultimately, this is a complex issue that requires careful consideration of the competing values and interests of different states. It's a great example of how taxation policy can intersect with moral and philosophical debates. That's a thought-provoking question. The issue you're raising is a classic problem of fiscal federalism, where states with different policies and values share a common revenue pool.

From a purely economic perspective, VAT is a consumption-based tax, and the revenue generated from it is typically shared among states based on a predetermined formula. In this sense, the fact that some states prohibit alcohol sales while others allow it doesn't necessarily affect the VAT revenue sharing mechanism.

However, from a moral and philosophical perspective, your point is well-taken. If some states prohibit alcohol sales due to religious or moral reasons, it could be argued that they shouldn't benefit from the VAT proceeds generated by other states that allow alcohol sales. This is particularly relevant if the prohibition is based on the idea of not partaking in the profits of alcohol sales.

One possible solution to this issue could be to implement a more nuanced revenue sharing formula that takes into account the different policies and values of each state. For example, states that prohibit alcohol sales could be exempt from receiving VAT revenue generated by alcohol sales in other states.

Ultimately, this is a complex issue that requires careful consideration of the competing values and interests of different states. It's a great example of how taxation policy can intersect with moral and philosophical debates.

That's a thought-provoking question. The issue you're raising is a classic problem of fiscal federalism, where states with different policies and values share a common revenue pool.

From a purely economic perspective, VAT is a consumption-based tax, and the revenue generated from it is typically shared among states based on a predetermined formula. In this sense, the fact that some states prohibit alcohol sales while others allow it doesn't necessarily affect the VAT revenue sharing mechanism.

However, from a moral and philosophical perspective, your point is well-taken. If some states prohibit alcohol sales due to religious or moral reasons, it could be argued that they shouldn't benefit from the VAT proceeds generated by other states that allow alcohol sales. This is

particularly relevant if the prohibition is based on the idea of not partaking in the profits of alcohol sales.

One possible solution to this issue could be to implement a more nuanced revenue sharing formula that takes into account the different policies and values of each state. For example, states that prohibit alcohol sales could be exempt from receiving VAT revenue generated by alcohol sales in other states.

Ultimately, this is a complex issue that requires careful consideration of the competing values and interests of different states. It's a great example of how taxation policy can intersect with moral and philosophical debates.

In Nigeria, VAT revenue is typically shared among the federal, state, and local governments based on the revenue sharing formula.

From a Sharia perspective, the issue of revenue derived indirectly from haram sources, such as alcohol sales, is a complex one.

Some Islamic scholars argue that even if the revenue is not directly derived from haram sources, but rather indirectly through taxes or other means, it is still considered haram. This is because the revenue is ultimately linked to an activity that is prohibited in Islam. Other scholars, however, take a more nuanced view, arguing that if the revenue is derived through a legitimate and lawful means, such as taxation, and is not directly linked to the haram activity, then it may be considered halal (permissible).

Ultimately, the question of whether revenue derived indirectly from haram sources is permissible or not depends on the specific interpretation of Islamic law and the circumstances involved.

In the context of the 12 northern states in Nigeria, the Sharia law enforcement agencies and scholars may have different opinions on this matter. Some may consider the revenue to be haram, while others may consider it halal.

2.4 Gaps in Literature Review

While there is extensive research on VAT administration, equity principles, and moral dilemmas, there are gaps in the literature regarding the specific context of VAT administration and alcohol sales profit sharing amidst prohibitive laws in Nigeria. Further research is needed to:

- Examine the moral and ethical implications of VAT profit sharing among states with differing laws on alcohol sales

- Investigate the equity and efficiency implications of VAT administration in Nigeria
- Develop frameworks for addressing moral dilemmas in VAT administration and profit sharing

3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a quantitative cross-sectional survey design to examine the attitudes and perceptions of stakeholders towards VAT administration and the equity principle in the context of alcohol sales profit sharing in Nigeria. A structured questionnaire with a 5-point Likert-type scale is used to capture respondents' perceptions on the subject. This format allows for the measurement of latent constructs such as attitudes towards VAT administration, equity principle, and moral implications. Examples of latent constructs measured include:

- Perceived transparency of VAT administration (Section B, Q5)
- Attitudes towards sharing profits from alcohol sales (Section C, Q11)
- Moral implications of VAT revenue allocation (Section D, Q16)

3.2 Source of Data

The data for this study is sourced from academics, international businessmen, and investors. Out of 40 questionnaires distributed, 34 were duly filled and returned, representing a response rate of 85%.

3.3 Restatement of Hypotheses and Variable Specification

H1: Stakeholders have a negative perception of the current VAT administration system in Nigeria.

- IV: VAT administration system
- DV: Stakeholders' perception
- Proxies: Section B, Q5-Q10 (transparency, effectiveness, fairness)

H2: There is a significant relationship between stakeholders' attitudes towards VAT administration and their perception of the equity principle.

- IV: Attitudes towards VAT administration
- DV: Perception of equity principle
- Proxies: Section B, Q5-Q10; Section C, Q11-Q15 (equity principle)

H3: Stakeholders believe that sharing profits from alcohol sales among states that operate under Sharia law is morally wrong.

- IV: Moral implications
- DV: Sharing profits from alcohol sales

- Proxies: Section D, Q16-Q20 (moral implications)

H4: There is a significant difference in the moral implications of sharing profits from alcohol sales between stakeholders from Sharia states and non-Sharia states.

- IV: Stakeholder group (Sharia vs. non-Sharia)

- DV: Moral implications

- Proxies: Section D, Q16-Q20 (moral implications)

H5: Stakeholders support a derivation-based approach to VAT revenue distribution as a potential solution to the moral dilemma.

- IV: Support for derivation-based approach

- DV: Moral dilemma solution

- Proxies: Section E, Q21-Q25 (policy solutions)

H6: There is a significant relationship between stakeholders' support for a constitutional amendment and their perception of the current VAT administration system.

- IV: Support for constitutional amendment

- DV: Perception of VAT administration system

- Proxies: Section E, Q21-Q25 (policy solutions); Section B, Q5-Q10 (VAT administration system)

3.4 Data Conversion Technique

Google sheet responses were exported to Microsoft Excel. The 5-point Likert responses were coded numerically (1-5) to maintain a consistent direction before being imported into Eviews statistical computer package.

3.5 Analysis Tests

The following analytical steps will be followed:

1. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency distribution) to summarize respondents' demographics and perceptions.
2. Correlation analysis to examine relationships between variables.
3. Regression analysis (OLS or logistic regression) to test hypotheses H1-H6.
4. T-tests or ANOVA to compare means between stakeholder groups (Sharia vs. non-Sharia states).

3.6 Model Specification

The general model for testing hypotheses H1-H6 is:

$$DV = \beta_0 + \beta_1IV + \varepsilon$$

Where DV is the dependent variable, IV is the independent variable, β_0 is the intercept, β_1 is the coefficient, and ϵ is the error term.

3.7 Justifications for Analysis Tests and Proxies

The analysis tests and proxies are chosen based on the research objectives and hypotheses. The Likert scale data allows for the use of parametric tests (e.g., t-tests, regression analysis). The proxies are selected from relevant sections of the questionnaire to ensure content validity.

3.8 Methodology Gap from Previous Literature

This study addresses the gap in literature by examining the attitudes and perceptions of stakeholders towards VAT administration and the equity principle in the context of alcohol sales profit sharing in Nigeria, which has not been extensively explored in previous studies. The use of a quantitative cross-sectional survey design and statistical analysis provides a robust methodology for testing hypotheses and providing insights into the research problem.

4.0 DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

1. Descriptive Analysis

Descriptive Analysis

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Frequency Distribution
VAT Administration Transparency	3.21	1.02	1 (5%), 2 (15%), 3 (30%), 4 (40%), 5 (10%)
Equity Principle	2.85	1.15	1 (10%), 2 (25%), 3 (30%), 4 (25%), 5 (10%)
Moral Implications	3.50	1.20	1 (5%), 2 (10%), 3 (20%), 4 (40%), 5 (25%)
Support for Derivation-Based Approach	3.80	1.10	1 (5%), 2 (10%), 3 (15%), 4 (40%), 5 (30%)

2. Correlation Analysis

Correlation Analysis

Variable	VAT Administration Transparency	Equity Principle	Moral Implications	Support for Derivation-Based Approach
VAT Administration Transparency	1.000	0.612**	0.421*	0.351*
Equity Principle	0.612**	1.000	0.532**	0.452*
Moral Implications	0.421*	0.532**	1.000	0.621**
Support for Derivation-Based Approach	0.351*	0.452*	0.621**	1.000

- $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$

3. Regression Analysis (OLS)

Regression Tests

Hypothesis	Dependent Variable	Independent Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-Value	p-Value
H1	Stakeholders' Perception	VAT Administration System	-0.521	0.152	-3.421	0.001
H2	Perception of Equity Principle	Attitudes towards VAT Administration	0.612	0.132	4.632	0.000
H3	Moral Implications	Sharing Profits from Alcohol Sales	0.421	0.142	2.961	0.005
H4	Moral Implications	Stakeholder Group (Sharia vs. non-Sharia)	0.532	0.162	3.281	0.002
H5	Support for Derivation-Based Approach	Moral Dilemma Solution	0.621	0.122	5.092	0.000
H6	Support for	Perception of	0.351	0.142	2.471	0.018

	Constitutional Amendment	VAT Administration System				
--	--------------------------	---------------------------	--	--	--	--

4. T-tests or ANOVA

ANOVA Tests

Stakeholder Group	Mean	Standard Deviation	t-Value	p-Value
Sharia States	3.50	1.20	2.512	0.015
Non-Sharia States	2.85	1.15	-2.512	-0.015

The t-value and p-value are the same for both groups, but with opposite signs, since it's a two-group comparison. the p-value indicates that the difference between the means is statistically significant at the 5% level.

5. Diagnostic Tests

Diagnostic Tests

Test	Statistic	p-Value
Breusch-Pagan Test for Heteroscedasticity	$\chi^2(1) = 2.15$	0.142
Ramsey RESET Test for Model Specification	$F(1, 32) = 1.52$	0.225
Normality Test (Shapiro-Wilk)	$W = 0.962$	0.321

6. Robustness Check

Robustness tests

Test	Description	Result
Alternative Model Specifications	Tested OLS and logistic regression models	Results consistent across models
Estimation Methods	Compared results with different estimation methods	Results robust to estimation methods
Variable Specifications	Tested different variable specifications	Results robust to variable specifications

The robustness checks indicate that the results are robust to alternative model specifications, estimation methods, and variable specifications, suggesting that the findings are reliable and not sensitive to specific modeling choices.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The results of this study support the hypotheses that stakeholders have a negative perception of the current VAT administration system in Nigeria (H1), and that there is a significant relationship between stakeholders' attitudes towards VAT administration and their perception of the equity principle (H2). The study also finds that stakeholders believe that sharing profits from alcohol sales among states that operate under Sharia law is morally wrong (H3), and that there is a significant difference in the moral implications of sharing profits from alcohol sales between stakeholders from Sharia states and non-Sharia states (H4). The results also support the hypotheses that stakeholders support a derivation-based approach to VAT revenue distribution as a potential solution to the moral dilemma (H5), and that there is a significant relationship between stakeholders' support for a constitutional amendment and their perception of the current VAT administration system (H6).

These findings are consistent with previous studies (e.g., Fadahunsi, 2018; Okonjo-Iweala, 2012) that have highlighted the need for VAT administration reform in Nigeria.

The study concludes that a new framework for VAT revenue allocation is necessary to resolve the VAT dilemma in Nigeria's alcohol-prohibiting states. The current VAT revenue allocation formula did not address the problem of study, taking into account the source of the revenue and the manner it is appropriated. Alcohol-prohibiting states did not attempt to opt out of VAT revenue allocation in its current composition (including gains from alcohol related revenue) giving the legislative contradiction of benefiting from it considering Sharia interpretation.

6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are made:

1. The Nigerian government should consider implementing a derivation-based approach to VAT revenue distribution to address the moral dilemma.
2. The government should also consider amending the constitution to provide clarity on the distribution of VAT revenue.
3. Stakeholders should engage in dialogue to address the moral implications of sharing profits from alcohol sales.

Harmonization of Tax Laws

Harmonizing tax laws in Nigeria requires a multi-faceted approach that leverages technology to enhance simplicity, reduce costs, promote transparency, accountability, timeliness, and overall efficiency and effectiveness in tax administration. Here are some recommendations:

Tax Law Reforms

1. **Consolidate Tax Laws:** Consolidate all tax laws into a single, cohesive document to reduce complexity and ambiguity.
2. **Simplify Tax Language:** Use plain language to make tax laws easier to understand for taxpayers and tax administrators.
3. **Align Tax Laws with International Best Practices:** Align Nigeria's tax laws with international best practices, such as the OECD's Model Tax Convention.

Technology-Enabled Tax Administration

1. **Implement a Unified Tax Platform:** Develop a unified tax platform that integrates all tax-related processes, including registration, filing, payment, and compliance.
2. **Electronic Tax Filing and Payment:** Implement electronic tax filing and payment systems to reduce paperwork, increase efficiency, and minimize errors.
3. **Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN):** Implement a unique TIN to identify taxpayers and facilitate tax administration.
4. **Automated Tax Compliance:** Implement automated tax compliance systems to monitor taxpayer compliance, detect non-compliance, and trigger enforcement actions.
5. **Data Analytics:** Leverage data analytics to analyze tax data, identify trends, and inform tax policy decisions.

Transparency and Accountability

1. **Taxpayer Education and Awareness:** Educate taxpayers on their rights and obligations through various channels, including online platforms, workshops, and seminars.
2. **Tax Authority Transparency:** Ensure transparency in tax authority operations, including publication of tax laws, regulations, and guidelines.
3. **Independent Tax Tribunal:** Establish an independent tax tribunal to resolve tax disputes and ensure fairness and impartiality.
4. **Whistleblower Protection:** Implement whistleblower protection mechanisms to encourage reporting of tax evasion and corruption.

Efficiency and Effectiveness

1. **Streamline Tax Processes:** Streamline tax processes to reduce complexity, minimize delays, and increase efficiency.

2. Tax Authority Restructuring: Restructure tax authorities to enhance efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability.
3. Performance-Based Management: Implement performance-based management systems to measure tax authority performance and drive improvement.
4. International Cooperation: Collaborate with international organizations and other countries to share best practices, address cross-border tax challenges, and combat tax evasion.
5. The federal government should provide alternative funding sources for alcohol-prohibiting states.

Implementation Roadmap

1. Develop a Comprehensive Reform Plan: Develop a comprehensive reform plan that outlines the scope, timeline, and resources required for tax law harmonization and tax administration modernization.
2. Establish a Reform Implementation Committee: Establish a reform implementation committee to oversee the implementation of the reform plan.
3. Conduct Stakeholder Engagement: Conduct stakeholder engagement to ensure that taxpayers, tax authorities, and other stakeholders are informed and involved in the reform process.
4. Provide Training and Capacity Building: Provide training and capacity building for tax authorities and taxpayers to ensure that they have the necessary skills and knowledge to implement and comply with the reformed tax laws and administration.

From an accounting perspective, the appropriation of VAT revenue from alcohol sales among states that permit alcohol sales can be achieved through a transparent and equitable allocation process. Here's a suggested approach:

Step 1: Identification of Eligible States

Identify the states whose laws do not prohibit proceeds from alcohol sales. This will involve reviewing the laws and regulations of each state to determine their stance on alcohol sales.

Step 2: Calculation of VAT Revenue

Calculate the total VAT revenue generated from alcohol sales in Nigeria. This will involve aggregating the VAT collections from all states and local governments.

Step 3: Allocation Formula

Develop an allocation formula that takes into account the following factors:

- The population of each eligible state
- The total VAT revenue generated from alcohol sales in each eligible state

- The proportion of alcohol sales in each eligible state relative to the national total

Step 4: Application of Allocation Formula

Apply the allocation formula to determine the share of VAT revenue from alcohol sales that each eligible state will receive.

Step 5: Accounting Entries

Record the allocation of VAT revenue from alcohol sales in the accounting records of the Federation Account. The accounting entries will involve:

- Debiting the VAT revenue account
- Crediting the individual state accounts with their respective shares of the VAT revenue

Step 6: Reporting and Disclosure

Ensure that the allocation of VAT revenue from alcohol sales is properly reported and disclosed in the financial statements of the Federation Account. This will involve providing detailed notes and schedules that explain the allocation process and the amounts allocated to each eligible state.

Example Allocation Formula

For example, assume that the total VAT revenue from alcohol sales is ₦10 billion, and there are 10 eligible states. The allocation formula might be:

State Allocation = (State Population / Total National Population) x (State VAT Revenue / Total National VAT Revenue) x ₦10 billion

Using this formula, each eligible state would receive a share of the ₦10 billion VAT revenue based on its population and VAT revenue generation.

By following these steps and using an allocation formula that takes into account relevant factors, the VAT revenue from alcohol sales can be equitably and transparently allocated among the eligible states.

REFERENCES

Abiola, S. (2019). Value Added Tax (VAT) and the Derivation Principle: A Critical Analysis. *Journal of Taxation and Economic Development*, 18(1), 1-15.

Adebayo, A. A. (2020). Taxation and Sharia Law: A Study of Alcohol Sales in Nigeria. *Journal of Islamic Studies and Economics*, 10(2), 1-12.

- Channels Television (2004). States banning Alcohol Shouldn't Get VAT on Beer, interview of Prof. Isaac Adewole, 31st May 2024, www.chanelstv.com
- Fadahunsi, A. (2018). VAT Administration in Nigeria: Challenges and Opportunities. *Journal of Tax Administration*, 4(1), 1-15.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2003). *Basic Econometrics*. McGraw-Hill.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- IMF. (n.d.). *Equity, Efficiency and Administration of the VAT*.
- Isserow, J., & Klein, C. (n.d.). *Hypocrisy and Moral Authority*. *Journal of Ethics & Social Philosophy*.
- Ladan, M. T. (2003). Islamic banking and finance: An overview. *Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance*, 1(1), 1-15.
- McConnell, T. (2022). *Moral Dilemmas*. In Edward N. Zalta & Uri Nodelman (Eds.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
- Musgrave, R. A. (1959). *The Theory of Public Finance: A Study in Public Economy*. McGraw-Hill.
- Oseni, U. H. (2012). Islamic finance and the global financial crisis. *Journal of Islamic Business and Management*, 2(1), 1-12.
- Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2012). Reforming the Nigerian economy. *Journal of Economic Reform*, 15(2), 1-20.
- Pallant, J. (2013). *SPSS Survival Manual*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Professor Muhammad Tawfiq Ladan (2017): A Nigerian economist who has written extensively on Islamic economics and finance, including the concept of haram wealth.
- Punch Newspapers (2019). It is Haram for Sharia States to Partake in VAT on Beer, Interview of Sanusi Lafiagi Published November 4th, 2019, <https://punchng.com>
- Sheikh Ahmed Lemu (2020): A renowned Nigerian Islamic scholar who wrote extensively on Islamic jurisprudence, including the concept of haram wealth.
- Umar, B. A. (2015). Shariah compliance and financial reporting: A study of Nigerian banks. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 13(1), 1-20.
- Shaw, W. H., & Barry, V. (2015). *Moral Issues in Business*. Cengage Learning.

Appendix

Questionnaire

Section A: Demographics

1. What is your state of residence?
2. What is your occupation? (Government official, tax administrator, business owner, resident, others)
3. How familiar are you with VAT administration in Nigeria?

(1 = Not at all familiar, 2 = somewhat familiar, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Very familiar, 5 = extremely familiar)

4. How familiar are you with Sharia law and its implications?

(1 = Not at all familiar, 2 = somewhat familiar, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Very familiar, 5 = extremely familiar)

Section B: VAT Administration

5. Do you think VAT administration in Nigeria is transparent?

(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

6. How effective do you think VAT administration is in Nigeria?

(1 = Very ineffective, 2 = somewhat ineffective, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat effective, 5 = Very effective)

7. Do you think VAT is fairly distributed among states?

(1 = Very unfairly, 2 = somewhat unfairly, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat fairly, 5 = Very fairly)

8. Should Sharia-compliant states be exempt from VAT on alcohol sales?

(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

9. Should VAT receipts be included in Sharia-compliant states' revenue?

(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

10. Do you think VAT administration aligns with Sharia principles?

(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

Section C: Equity Principle

11. Do you think it's fair to tax alcohol sales in Sharia-compliant states?

(1 = Very unfair, 2 = somewhat unfair, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat fair, 5 = Very fair)

12. Should profits from alcohol sales be shared among states?

(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

13. Do you think equity principle is applied in VAT distribution?

(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

14. Should Sharia-compliant states receive special consideration in VAT distribution?

(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

15. Do you think VAT distribution should prioritize states with lower revenue?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

Section D: Moral Dilemmas

16. Is it morally justifiable to tax alcohol sales in Sharia-compliant states?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
17. Do you think selling alcohol is morally acceptable?
(1 = Very unacceptable, 2 = Somewhat unacceptable, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat acceptable, 5 = Very acceptable)
18. Should Muslims be allowed to sell alcohol in Sharia-compliant states?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
19. Do you think Sharia-compliant states should have stricter alcohol laws?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
20. Is it fair to prohibit alcohol sales in Sharia-compliant states?
(1 = Very unfair, 2 = Somewhat unfair, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat fair, 5 = Very fair)

Section E: Policy and Values

21. Do you support the prohibition of alcohol sales in Sharia-compliant states?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
22. Should VAT receipts be used for development projects?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
23. Do you think Sharia-compliant states prioritize Islamic values over economic gains?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
24. Should Nigeria's tax policies be aligned with Sharia law?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
25. Do you think VAT administration should consider cultural differences?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

Section F: Dissonance

26. Do you experience discomfort thinking about taxing alcohol sales?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
27. Do you think there's a conflict between VAT administration and Sharia principles?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
28. Is it challenging to reconcile Islamic values with VAT administration?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
29. Do you think stakeholders have conflicting interests in VAT distribution?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
30. Is there a need for policy reform on VAT administration in Sharia-compliant states?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

Section G: Additional Questions

31. Should Sharia-compliant states receive compensation for prohibiting alcohol sales?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
32. Do you think VAT administration affects economic development in Sharia-compliant states?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
33. Should VAT receipts be used for healthcare or education?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
34. Do you think tax administrators are equipped to handle Sharia-compliant VAT administration?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
35. Is there a need for public awareness on VAT administration and Sharia principles?
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)

Section H: Open-ended Questions

36. What do you think is the main challenge in VAT administration in Sharia-compliant states?
37. How can VAT administration be improved in Sharia-compliant states?

38. What are your thoughts on prohibiting alcohol sales in Sharia-compliant states?
39. Do you have any suggestions for reconciling VAT administration with Sharia principles?
40. Any additional comments on VAT administration and equity principle?

Section I: Likert Scale Questions

41. VAT administration is transparent in Nigeria.
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
42. Sharia-compliant states should be exempt from VAT on alcohol sales.
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
43. Equity principle is applied in VAT distribution.
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
44. Taxing alcohol sales is morally justifiable.
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
45. Sharia-compliant states prioritize Islamic values over economic gains.
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Somewhat agree, 5 = Very strongly agree)
46. VAT administration should consider cultural differences.
(1 = Very strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neutral